

DDI-494-77

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

10 February 1977

NOTE FOR: Sayre Stevens  
DDI

FROM :   
D/OPEI/ICS

SUBJECT : PRM 11

I have been instructed to "move out" in preparing for the impending PRM 11 which, among other things, will direct a fundamental review -- again -- of Intelligence Community organization.

To help in that preparation, could you provide me with written or oral comments on the attached paper by 16 February? Needless to say, all this is very tentative now; but once moving, it will move fast.

Attachment:  
ICS 77-2118

*Same note sent to DDO + S+T.  
Replies att'd.*

ACTION

Fritz —

File

This approach looks pretty good. Your options seem to span the possibilities -- short of doing away with the whole damned business. I think it might be useful to give specific consideration to individual proposed changes that are being broiled about (e.g., Intelligence Team, separation of analysis, etc.) to consider their implications and relationship to the package schemes you have defined. I suppose the group should also address the criticisms of the community leveled by the Rockefeller Commission, Congress and other friends which relate to its structure in the same way. The inclusion of a clandestine <sup>(services)</sup> representative will probably be necessary; the peculiarities associated with that world pose some real problems in terms of community structure. I'll be glad to do whatever I can to be of help.

C  
U  
R  
R  
E  
N  
T  
  
I  
N  
F  
O  
R  
M  
A  
T  
I  
O  
N

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/01/17 : CIA-RDP79M00095A000100030025-4

SUBJECT: (Optional)

PRM 11

FROM:

DDO

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

10 February 1977

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

D/OPEI/ICS

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Thanks for your note. We would very much like to have an officer involved in all this - just who, I am not certain. As you know I was on the [ ] Group which worked over this problem pretty thoroughly two years ago, but I don't have time at this juncture. I would like to nominate [ ] to your list of names in the second paragraph of your 7 January memorandum. Please let me know if there is anything else we should do.

25X1

E2, IMPDET  
CL BY [ ]

SECRET